UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Montez Barker,) C/A No. 5:16-cv-03934-MGL-KDW
Petitioner,)))) ORDER
v.)))
Warden Cartledge,)
Respondent.)
	,

Petitioner, Montez Barker, is a state prisoner who filed this pro se Petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On March 7, 2017, Respondent filed a Return and Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF Nos. 17, 18. Because Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of such motions and of the need for him to file adequate responses. ECF No. 19. Petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case. Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order Petitioner has failed to respond to the Motion. However, Petitioner filed two requests for extensions, ECF Nos. 21, 24, which the court granted, ECF Nos. 22, 25. In the most recent order, Petitioner was granted until June 17, 2017, to respond. ECF No. 25. However, Petitioner filed no Response. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the Motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Petitioner is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment by August 10, 2017. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 11, 2017

Florence, South Carolina

Kaymani D. West

Haymai D. Hest

United States Magistrate Judge